Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee - Inquiry Scoping Summary

Proposed Topic: The Impact of Scrutiny – Invest to Save

"Scrutiny, at its best helps local authorities save money, make better decisions, and acts as a vital link between council and communities"

Chief Executive, Centre for Public Scrutiny, Jacqui McKinlay 9.2.17

PROPOSED TYPE OF SCRUTINY INVESTIGATION: Inquiry

Proposed Terms of Reference

To evaluate the impact of the scrutiny function on the delivery of Council services, by:

 Reviewing existing evidence of scrutiny impact on Council decision-making and service development since 2012.

To propose a mechanism for capturing the future impact of scrutiny, by:

- Identifying theoretical models for recording and capturing impact, focussing particularly on an 'invest to save' model of scrutiny; and
- Seeking evidence of successful approaches to monitoring impact by other Councils in England and Wales;
- Identifying a practical model of recording and capturing impact, appropriate for use in Cardiff.
- Acknowledging that calculating impact/ value of scrutiny can be subjective and there are differing types of impact – immediate, short term, longer term, strategic, operational, financial, quality of service delivery

Citizens of Cardiff All Members of the Council, including Cabinet and Scrutiny Members; Senior Management (Chief Executive, Corporate Directors, Directors, Chief Officers, Operational Managers); Scrutiny Management; Scrutiny Team.
The Inquiry will commence by commissioning research to review significant achievements in Scrutiny's since 2012 by: o using existing local and national research findings on the theme of capturing the impact of Scrutiny, o including reference to existing research on the reduction of AIRs

	 burden with evidence of effective internal scrutiny. Collating the top 10 impacts of Scrutiny on the Council since 2012 (Current and previous administrations). Producing a tabular illustration of case study achievements that illustrates Scrutiny's impact across a variety of types of scrutiny, t&f, policy development, performance monitoring, call-in etc. 	
Number of meetings required		
Meeting 1	Setting the context	
December 2018 wk1/2	Briefing report providing an overview of the current scrutiny service – eg. Existing processes (work programming, correspondence), resources, performance monitoring, legislation guiding the service, links to Corporate plans/strategies relevant to the service.	
	Consideration of Secondary Research	
	Research paper providing a sample of impact of scrutiny on Council business and service delivery during current and previous administrations. – Scrutiny research manager	
	Planning – to reflect on the current position, achievements and potential for improvement, and agree the next steps in the investigation.	
	Observer: Gary Jones Head of Democratic Services	
Meeting 2	Internal evidence	
January 2019 wk 3/4	Presentation from relevant Cabinet Member / Senior Officers	
	Leader, Chief Executive, Head of Democratic Services.	
	Political and Senior Management drivers for good scrutiny.	
	Where evidence gathered during secondary research indicates scrutiny recommendations for improvement have not gained traction, explore barriers with appropriate Directorates.	
Meeting 3	Internal evidence	
February 2019 wk 2	2. Where evidence gathered during secondary research indicates scrutiny recommendations for improvement have not gained traction, explore barriers with appropriate Directorates.	
Meeting 4	External evidence	
March 2019 wk 2	Presentation from recognised experts, for example:	
	Centre for Public Scrutiny – a model for measuring the Return on Investment of overview and scrutiny.	

	Cardiff Business School – explore current relevant research. Consider further research: Review/examples of how other Councils have demonstrated impact – Devon; Birmingham, North Lincolnshire etc
Meeting 5 March 2019 wk 4	Sum Up Meeting – consideration of all information received during the Inquiry and agree way forward for draft report/recommendations
Meeting 6 April 2019	Consideration of draft report/recommendations

Proposed Reporting Arrangements

- Investigation to be undertaken between November 2018 and March 2019
- Report to be considered by the Scrutiny Committee April 2019
- Report from Scrutiny to Cabinet April 2019

Potential Outputs/Outcomes from this investigation

To produce a report for consideration by the Cabinet that uses the evidence gathered to make recommendations for capturing the impact of scrutiny going forward.